



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.       |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/788,479  | 03/01/2004  | Gordon Rouleau       | DLGMO-014XX             | 7564                   |
| 78637 7590 05/27/2009<br>WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEOVICI LLP<br>TEN POST OFFICE SQUARE<br>BOSTON, MA 02109 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>ZHOU, YONG  |                        |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT<br>2419        | PAPER NUMBER           |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE<br>05/27/2009 | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                      |  |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/788,479 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>ROULEAU, GORDON |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>Yong Zhou         | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2419                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Yong Zhou. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Paul D. Sorkin. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Monot, Chu.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim 1 was discussed with respect to prior art references Monot and Chu. Mr. Sorkin pointed out that the LAPB device configuration taught in Monot is different from what the claim intended and would look for ways to clarify the specific requirements of the "configuration". However, no agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

|                                    |   |
|------------------------------------|---|
| /Y. Z./<br>Examiner, Art Unit 2419 | /Chirag G Shah/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2419 |
|------------------------------------|---|